Телетноме (248) 354-9650 Fax (248) 354-9656

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

GERALD E. HAWKINS, SALVATORE CIATTI,

and GERALD P. JAKUBIK, on behalf of thems and a similarly situated class,

Plaintiffs,

JUDGE : Hood, Denise Page DECK

: S. Division Civil Deck : 11/21/2005 @ 16:20:43 DATE CASE NUMBER: 2:05CV74437 CMP GERALD E HAWKINS V HOWDEN

BUFFALO (AWI)

v.

HOWDEN BUFFALO, INC.

Defendant.

ANTALITE DE SCHEEL

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs Gerald E. Hawkins, Salvatore Ciatti and Gerald P. Jakubik (the "Class Representatives") on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated persons in the proposed class described in this Complaint, by their attorneys, Klimist, McKnight, Sale, McClow & Canzano, P.C., file this Complaint against Defendant Howden Buffalo, Inc. as follows:

- This action is brought as a class action by the Class Representatives on behalf of 1. themselves and a similarly situated class of retirecs pursuant to Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(1) and (2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. Count I is brought under §301 of the Labor-Management Relations Act ("LMRA"), 29 U.S.C. §185, and seeks damages for breach of a collective bargaining agreement as well as declaratory and injunctive relief.
- Count II is brought under §502(a)(1)(B) of the Employee Retirement Income Security 3. Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B), and seeks to recover benefits due and to clarify rights to benefits due under an employee welfare benefit plan.

Telephone (248) 354-9650 Fax (248) 354-9656

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has jurisdiction over Count I under §301 of the LMRA, 29 U.S.C §185. This Court has jurisdiction over Count II under §502(a)(1)(B), §502(a)(3), §502(e)(1), and §502(f) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B), §1132(a)(3), §1132(e)(1), §1132(f), and applicable federal common law. Venue in this judicial district is proper under §301 of LMRA, 29 U.S.C. §185, and §502(e)(2) and §502(f) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2) and §1132(f).

PARTIES

- 5. Defendant Howden Buffalo, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant") is a Delaware corporation that operates a facility in Dearborn, Michigan.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant is the successor to American Standard, Inc. and American Davidson, Inc. who were parties to a series of collective bargaining agreements with the International Union, United Automobile, Acrospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America (hereinafter "UAW") and UAW Local 254.
- 7. Class Representative Gerald E. Hawkins resides at 29562 Oakley, Livonia, Michigan 48154. He retired from employment with Defendant's predecessors and, as an employee, was represented in collective bargaining by the UAW as part of the research, drafting and plant clerical bargaining unit.
- 8. Class Representative Salvatore Ciatti resides at 6836 Asbury Park, Detroit, Michigan 48228. He retired from employment with Defendant's predecessors and, as an employee, was represented in collective bargaining by the UAW as part of the factory bargaining unit.
- 9. Class Representative Gerald P. Jakubik resides at 12833 Ward, Southgate, Michigan 48195. He retired from employment with Defendant's predecessors and, as an employee, was represented in collective bargaining by the UAW as part of the factory bargaining unit.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 10. The Class Representatives bring this class action on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated former employees who retired from Defendant's predecessors and who were represented by the UAW in collective bargaining in the factory, drafting, research and clerical bargaining units ("Class").
- 11. The exact number of members of the Class is not presently known, but is so numerous that joinder of individual members in this action is impracticable.
- 12. There are common questions of law and fact in the action that relate to and affect the rights of each member of the Class. The relief sought is common to the entire Class, as set forth below in Counts I and II of this Complaint.
- 13. The claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the Class in that the Class Representatives claim that Defendant is obligated to provide all members of the Class, including the Class Representatives, with the same collectively bargained retiree health care benefits. There is no conflict between any Class Representative and other members of the Class with respect to this action.
- 14. The Class Representatives are the representative parties for the Class, and are able to and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
- 15. The attorneys for the Class Representatives are experienced and capable in the field of labor law and ERISA and have successfully prosecuted numerous class actions of a similar nature.
- 16. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making final injunctive relief or corresponding injunctive relief appropriate with respect to the Class as a whole.

TELEPHONE (248) 354-9650

This action is properly maintained as a class action in that the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of adjudications with respect to individual members of the Class which would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudication, or would substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT

- 19. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the above paragraphs as though fully set forth in this Count I.
- 20. The UAW is a labor organization as defined in §2(5) of the National Labor Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. §152(5), with its headquarters and principal office within this judicial district. Prior to their retirement, the UAW represented the Class Representatives and other members of the Class in the negotiation of collective bargaining agreements.
- 21. The UAW and Defendant's predecessors in interest, American Standard and American Davidson, negotiated a series of collective bargaining agreements that obligated Defendant to provide vested lifetime retiree health care benefits for the members of the Class.
- 22. The relevant portions of the collective bargaining agreements for the factory and the drafting, research and plant clerical bargaining units provided that effective August 1, 1969, any employee who retired and received benefits under the pension plan would receive health care benefits during the life of the pensioner without cost to the pensioner.

- 23. In about August 2005, Defendant informed the Class Representatives and the Class members that it would terminate their health care benefits effective January 1, 2006.
- 24. Defendant's threat to terminate the health care benefits is a breach of Defendant's contractual obligation to provide vested lifetime retiree health care benefits to the Class.
- 25. The parties to the applicable collective bargaining agreements understood at the time they entered into those agreements that the retiree health care provisions of the agreements dealt with matters of obvious mental concern and solicitude for the retirees, their spouses, and their dependents.
- 26. Defendant's breach of their contractual obligations as set forth in this Count has caused the Class Representatives and similarly situated Class members monetary damages and great mental anguish and distress.

COUNT II VIOLATION OF ERISA PLAN

- 27. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though set forth in this Count II.
- 28. Defendant was at all relevant times the relevant "employer" within the meaning of §3(5) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1002(5).
- 29. The collectively bargained agreements described in paragraphs 21 and 22 of this Complaint under which retiree health care benefits are provided to the Class Representatives and Class members is an "employee welfare benefit plan" within the meaning of §3(3), of ERISA 29 U.S.C. §1002(1).
- 30. Defendant is or was at relevant times the "plan sponsor" and/or "administrator" of the employee welfare benefit plan, within the meaning of §3(16)(A)-(B) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1002(16)(A)-(B).

Felephone (248) 354-9650 Fax (248) 354-9656 31. The Class Representatives and Class members are "participants" in the employee welfare benefit plan, within the meaning of §3(7) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1002(7).

32. The terms of the employee welfare benefit plan requires the Defendant to provide vested lifetime retiree health care benefits to the Class Representatives and the Class members.

33. Defendant's threatened termination of the retirce health care benefits effective January 1, 2006 of the Class Representatives and the Class members is a breach of its obligations under the employee welfare benefit plan.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

A. Enter a declaratory judgment against Defendant under ERISA and the LMRA to provide vested lifetime retiree health care benefits to the Class Representatives and Class members as set forth in the applicable collective bargaining agreements.

B. Enter preliminary and permanent injunctive relief requiring the Defendant to maintain the level of retiree health care benefits in effect as of December 2005, as required by the terms of the applicable collective bargaining agreements.

C. Order the Defendant to pay damages, plus interest, to the Class Representatives and Class members for any losses incurred as a result of its modification or termination of the retiree health care benefits.

D. Order the Defendant to pay damages for mental distress and anguish suffered by the Class Representatives and Class members resulting from Defendant's breach of its contractual obligation to provide health care benefits to the Class Representatives and Class members.

Telemone (248) 354-9650

Fax (248) 354-9656

F. Grant such further relief as may be deemed necessary and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs request a jury trial of all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

KLIMIST, McKNIGHT, SALE McCLOW & CANZANO, P.C.

ROGER MCCLOW (P27170) DAVID R. RADTKE (P47016) Attorneys for Class Representatives 400 Galleria Officentre, Suite 117 Southfield, MI 48034-8460 (248) 354-9650

Dated: November 21, 2005
PAUAWAnternational HowdenBuffaloPleadings/Comptaint,01.wpd

E.

| JS 44 11/99 CIV The JS-44 civil cover shee by law, except as provide for use of the Clerk of Co | d by local rules of court | itained herein neithe . This form, approv | r replace no red by the J | r supplement the fili udicial Conference | ng and service of pl | leadings or other pa es in September 19 | pers as required 174, is required | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | | DEFENDANTS | | | | |
| • | Salvatore Ciatti ar ves and a similarly | | | Howden Buffa | ilo, Inc. | | | |
| (b) County of Residence of First Listed Wayne | | | | County of Residence of First Listed Wayne NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. | | | | |
| (C) Attorney's (Firm Name | e, Address, and Telephone | Number) | | Attorneys (If Kno | wn) | | | |
| David R. Radtke (P4 Klimist, McKnight, 400 Galleria Officen II. BASIS OF JURISD | Sale, McClow & Outre, Suite 117, Sou | ithfield, MI 480 | III. CITIZ | ENSHIP OF PR | INCIPAL PART | IES (Place an "X" in 0 and One | One Box for Plain(If Box for Defendan() | |
| 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government) 4 Diversity | Citízer | PLA DEF Citizen of This State | | | | | |
| Defendant | | enship of Parties | Citizer | n or Subject of a | | usiness In Another Si | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI | | | | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | | RTS | | EITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTO | - | STATUTES | |
| ☐ 1 1 0 Insurance ☐ 120 Marins ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of ☐ Overpayment and ☐ Enforcement ☐ Judgment | PERSONAL INJURY 31 0 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault Libel And Stander | PERSONAL INJUI 362 Personal Inju Med. Malpracti 365 Personal Inju Product Lia Ilii 388 Asbestos Pers | ry- | O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21: 881 O Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck | ☐ 422 Appeal 28 US ☐ 423 Withdrawal ZB USC 157 PROPERTY RIGH | 41 0 Antitude 430 Bank | 460 Deportation | |
| □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Vaterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability | U 330 Federal Employers' Liability U 340 Marine D 345 Marine Product Liability U 350 Motor Vehicle U 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability U 360 Other Personal | PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth In Lendir 380 Other Persona Property Dame 385 Property Dame Product Liability | 65 () 66 () 69 () 69 () 69 () 69 () 72 () | O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other LABOR O Familishor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relations | □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURI □ 861 H IA (1 395f) □ 862 Black Lung (92 □ 863 OIWC/DIWW (40 | Corrupt 810 Select 850 Secution 875 Cust 12 Li 891 Agric 892 Econo 893 Envan | Organizations ative Service fities/Com mod ities/ ange orner Challenge \$C 3410 | |
| REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lesse & Ejectment 240 Torks to Product Liability 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property | CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights | PRISONER PETITION 51 0 Motions to Vac Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ott 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition | □ 736 □ 74 □ 79 | D Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act O Railway Labor Act O Other Labor Litigation It Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act | □ 864 SSID Title XV □ 865 R\$I (405(g)) | ### ################################## | | |
| V. ORIGIN Original | itate Court / | Remanded from [Appellate Court | 4 Reinsta or Reope | anothe | | ulti district 7 | Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Action for retiree health | | ute under which you are onal statutes unless dive 29 U.S.C. §18 | | | ouse. | | | |
| | | | | | | ES only if demanded MAND: X Yes | _ | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE | /See | JUDGE | | | DOCKET NUMBER | | | |
| 11/z1/0.5 | | SIGNATURE OF A | TTOPINEY OF | REOSRO |) | | | |

2:05-cv-74437-DPH-DAS Doc#1 Filed 11/21/05 Pg 9 of 9 Pg ID 9

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

| 1. | Is this a case that has been previously dismissed? | Yes | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| If yes, give the following information: | | | | | | | |
| Co u rt: | | | | | | | |
| Case No.: | MR 1808 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | |
| Judge: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.) | Yes No | | | | | |
| If yes, give the following information: | | | | | | | |
| Court: | | | | | | | |
| Case No.: | ···· | | | | | | |
| Judge: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Notes : | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |